

FOR MYSORE STATE

Fika Development

(1950) Department


MS SERIES.

G.O. NO. 214

Dated 18/3/50

[Despatch Abstract.]

Hindu Religious Endowments - Rules
regulating the Conditions of Service of
paid officers of Hindu Religious
Institutions - Amendment - Approved



FIRKA DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.

-:0:-

Memorandum No. 52333, F.D.(V)/49-1, dated the 11th January 1950.

Sub:- Hindu Religious Endowments - Paid officers appointed for Religious Institutions - Amendment to rules.

Ref:- From the president, H.R.E. Board, letter No. 140621/49-1 dated 2-12-1949 and 96102/49 Gnl dated 20-12-1949.

The Government consider that all the Executive Officers who have not so far furnished the securities should be given time limit for furnishing securities, till 1-4-50, instead of 1-1-1950 as suggested by the board in the draft amendment to Rule 8 of the rules relating to the conditions of service of Executive Officers etc. With a view to enable the board to appoint deserving persons like Sri Srinivasan referred to in the letter of the president, Hindu Religious Endowments board No. 140621/49-1 Adm. dated 2-12-1949, as paid Executive Officers of temples without demanding ~~xxx~~ from them the required securities, a proviso should be added to rule 8, empowering Government to grant exemption in deserving cases subject to such conditions as may be fixed. The president, Hindu Religious Endowments board is requested to revise the draft amendment to rule 8 in the light of the suggestions made above and submit the revised draft amendment for the approval of Government.

2. Pending the amendment to the rules, the president is also requested to appoint Sri Srinivasan as Executive officer of a temple after obtaining the necessary fidelity bond from him.

M.S. Jagannayakulu,
Secretary to Government.

To the president, Hindu Religious Endowments Board, Madras.

/True copy/

hch.17/6.

R. V. Shanmugam
SUPERINTENDENT.

Copy of letter No.96102/49 Gnl.4 dated 26-2-50 from the President,
Hindur Religious Endowments Board, Madras to the Secretary to the
Government, Firka Development Department, Fort St. George, Madras.

Sub:- Hindu Religious Endowments - paid officers appointed for
Religious Institutions - Draft amendments to Rule 8 of the
Rules issued in Board's order No.2001/42 relating to the
conditions of service of executive officers.

Ref:- Government Memorandum No.52333 F.D.(V)/49-1 dated 11-1-50.

I forward herewith a revised draft of the amendment to Rule 8 of
the rules issued in board's Order No.2001 dated 20-7-42 and amended
from time to time regarding furnishing of security by the paid officers
appointed by the board to be in charge of religious institutions under
its control on the lines suggested by the Government in their memorandum
cited and approved by the Board in resolution No.6 dated 14-2-1950.

As suggested by the Government provision for the exemption of
deserving persons for appointment as executive officers is made in
the revised draft amendment subject to such conditions as may be fixed.

The time limit for furnishing securities by existing executive
officers has also been fixed as 1-4-1950 as suggested by the Government

I request that the Government may kindly pass orders at an early
date approving the draft amendment to the rules.

Yours faithfully,

Sd. x x x

president.

/True copy/

P.T.O.

Office of the Bd. of Commissioners,
H. R. E.
Madras 6.

Draft amendment to rule 8 of the rules issued in board's order No.2001/42 regarding furnishing of security by the paid officers appointed by the Board to be in charge of religious institutions under its control:-

§(a)(i): No officer already in service, shall be allowed to continue in office, if he does not furnish before 1-4-50, the security fixed by the Board and payable by him;

(ii) No officer already in service, and who on payment of additional pay or remuneration has already been appointed to a higher class of institution or institutions or put in charge of another institution or institutions in addition, shall be allowed to continue to be in charge of the higher class of institution or institutions or of another institution or institutions in addition as the case may be, if he does not furnish the additional security fixed by the Board and payable by him before 1-4-50. In default, his appointment to the higher class of institution or institutions or to be in charge of another institution or institutions in addition, shall, without notice to him, stand cancelled; and if he is a permanent officer appointed to a higher class of institution or institutions he shall wait till a suitable place is found for him, and the period during which he is not on duty shall be treated as on leave without pay; and, if he is a non permanent officer, he shall cease to be in service.

(b)(i) No officer appointed to any post after the date of this amendment shall be permitted to take charge of his office, if he does not furnish the security fixed by the Board and payable by him, and the order of his appointment shall stand cancelled without further notice to him, if the security as fixed by the Board and payable by him is not furnished within 15 days from the date of receipt by him of the order appointing him; and

(ii) No officer already in service and who after the date of this amendment is appointed to a higher class of institution or institutions or put in charge of another institution or institutions in addition, shall be permitted to continue to be in charge of his new office or additional office, if the additional security fixed by the Board and payable by him, is not paid within 3 months of the order appointing him, or putting him in additional charge, as the case may be, in default, the order of such appointment shall stand cancelled, without

further notice to him, and if he is a permanent officer appointed to a higher class of institution or institutions he shall wait till a suitable post is found for him and the period during which he is not on duty shall be treated as on leave without pay; and, if he is a non permanent servant, he shall cease to be in service.

(c) Notwithstanding the provisions of this rule, it shall be competent for the Board to permit an officer or servant of the board who services have been lent to a religious institution to take charge of his office on his furnishing a fidelity bond, executed in the prescribed form from a recognised firm approved by the Board; but he shall be reverted to the board's service if the security as fixed by the board and payable by him, is not furnished within a period of 2 years from the date of his appointment.

(d) Notwithstanding the provisions of this rule, the Government may on the recommendation of the Board, exempt an officer already in the service of, or an officer proposed by the Board to be appointed to a religious institution, from furnishing the security fixed by the Board and payable by such an officer, subject to such conditions as may be fixed by the Government.

Sd. T.M.Chinnaiya Pillai.

President 6-2-50-

/True copy/

List of paid officers who have not furnished security.

S.No.	Name of the institution, village etc.	Name of the paid officer and designation.	Amount of security fixed.	Reasons for non-furnishing.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
<u>VISHAKAPATTINAM.</u>				
<u>Sri</u>				
1.	Sariapalle Math, Sariapalle, Sompeta Taluk.	K. Srinivasa Rao, Executive Officer.	1000/-	Paid Rs.500/- only. Executive Officer reminded to expedite the payment of the balance. Reminded to expedite payment.
2.	Kothandaramaswami temple, Sompeta	V.Rama Rao, Executive Officer.	750/-	
3.	Subrahmanyaswami temple, Subrahmanya-puram, Chicacole Taluk.	W.Ranganathan, Executive Officer.	250/-	Reminded to expedite payment.
4.	<u>EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.</u> Jansardhana and Agastheswaraswami	S.Vi sweswarudu, Executive Officer.	500/-	Permitted to furnish security after taking possession of temple lands. Not yet taken charge.
5.	Venugopala etc. temples, Kakinada Town and Taluk.	R.Suryanarayana, Executive Officer.	1,000/-	Furnished Rs.500/- Reminded to expedite the payment of the balance.
6.	Veereswara etc. temples, Muramalla, Amalapur Taluk.	G.Vyghreswarudu, manager.	500/-	Not yet taken possession of temple lands in possession of archakas.
7.	Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami temple, Puttakonda, Kakinada Taluk.	S.Visweswarudu, Executive Officer.	500/-	Executive Officer allowed 6 months time.
8.	Bheemeswaraswami temple, Draksharama, Ramachandrapur Taluk.	B.Bhagavanulu, Executive Officer.	250/-	Executive Officer has not yet taken charge.

(addl. security)

Office of the H.R.E.Board, Madras-6.

B.R.No.5 dated 15-11-1949.

subject.

Resolution.

consideration of the draft
amendment to clause 8 of the rules
issued in B.O.2001 dated 20-7-1942
so as to provide for taking of
securities from the paid officers
appointed by the Board to religious
institutions under the control of the
Board, in advance before such appointments are made etc.

DRAFT AMENDMENT APPROVED.

/True copy/

Office of the H.R.E. Board, Madras-6.

Draft Amendment to rule 8 of the rules issued in Board's order No.2001 dated 20-7-1942 regarding furnishing of security by the paid officers appointed by the Board to be in charge of religious - institutions under its control.

—


No Officer already in service shall continue in office if he does not furnish the security fixed by the Board before 1-1-1950, and no officer appointed after 1-10-1949, shall be permitted to take charge of his office if he does not furnish the security fixed for the post to which he is appointed, and the order of his appointment shall stand cancelled without further notice if the security is not furnished by him within 15 days from the date of the order of appointment. Any additional security that will have to be paid by an officer, by reason of his transfer to a higher class of institution or on account of his being put in additional charge of another institution or institutions on payment of any additional pay or remuneration, shall be paid by him within a period of 3 months from the date of such transfer or assuming additional charge as the case may be, and in default, the order of his appointment to the additional post shall without notice to him stand cancelled. Notwithstanding the provisions of this rule, it shall be competent for the Board to grant an officer or servant of the Board whose services have been lent to a religious institution such further time as the Board may consider necessary from time to time to furnish the security or the additional security as the case may be which the said officer may have to furnish under these rules and the period so allowed shall in no case exceed 6 months.

/True copy/

hch.30/5.

Rev. Shanley
SUPERINTENDENT.

copy for Mysore State.



Abstract.

HINDU RELIGIOUS ENDOWMENTS BOARD - Rules regulating the conditions of service of paid officers of Hindu Religious Institutions - Amendment - approved.

Firka Development department.

G.O.Ms.No.214.

Dated the 18th March 1950.
Read the following: '

From the President, Hindu Religious Endowment Board,
Letter No.96102/49 General,4 dated 26-2-1950.

O R D E R:

The Government approve the draft amendment, suggested by the Hindu Religious Endowment board to rule 8 of the rules regulating the conditions of service of paid officers etc. of Hindu Religious Institutions under the control of the board subject to the time limit specified in clause (a) of the rule being altered to 1-9-50.

2. The attention of the President, Hindu Religious Endowments board is invited to paragraph 2 of Government Memo No.52333/49-1 F.D.V dated 11-1-1950 and he is requested to state whether Sri S.V.Srinivasan has been appointed as executive officer of any temple after obtaining from him the necessary fidelity bond. If he has already been appointed, necessary permission in the proposed draft amendment to rule 8 may be made to give retrospective effect to it with effect from the date of his appointment as otherwise his appointment will not be in order.

(BY ORDER OF HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR)

Sd. x x x
Secretary to Government.

To the president, Hindu Religious Endowments Board, Madras.
W the Examiner of Local Fund Accounts.

/True copy/

hch.30/5.

N. S. Shanmugasundaram
SUPERINTENDENT.

Firka development Department(V).

Form the president, H.R.E.Board, letter No. 96102/49-2 Gnl. dated 26-11-1949.

H.R.E. - Temples - Executive officers - Security - Non-furnishing of.

On an allegation received in May 1949 that the president H.R.E.Board appointed on Sri T.H.Vivekandam pillai as an Executive Officer of the Subrahmaniaswami temple at Tiruchendur without demanding from him the prescribed security, the Government called for from the president, H.R.E.Board information as to the number of cases where the Executive Officers who were required to furnish security by the board have not done so and why such officers should be kept in service. The president furnished the information called for and it was seen there from that there were in all 63 temples, in respect of which the Executive officers did not furnish fully the securities demanded from them by the board and that they were reminded from time to time to furnish the security fixed on pain of removal from service. The president was then asked in Memorandum dated 30-7-1949 to remind the Executive officers concerned to pay the securities before 1-11-46 and submit a further report to Government by the 15th Nov. 1949 as to the progress made in the collection of securities from Executive Officers. He was also asked to see that in future the securities are furnished in advance before appointments are made in the posts of Executive Officers. It is in accordance with these orders the president has now sent the present report. He reports that out of the 63 Executive Officers who did not furnish the securities previously, 20 of them have since furnished the securities and that the others have been given time to furnish the securities. He has also accepted the suggestion of Government that the Board should demand the securities from the Executive officers before the appointments are made.

The president may be asked to fix a reasonable time limit say three months - for furnishing securities in deserving cases and even if in spite of this extension of time the security amount is not deposited he may be asked to replace the Executive Officers by other suitable officers.

May be circulated to F.M.(P.E.).

A period of there monthsis a reasonably long period within which an Executive Officer ought to be able to furnish the security demanded. A above may be approved.

From the President, H.R.E.Board, letter No.96102/49 Gnl.
dated 20-12-1949.

please see the letter of the President, since received on pp. 3-4 c.f. With reference to the instructions issued in para 2 of our Memo dated 30-7-1949, the board has suggested a draft amendment to rule 8 of the rules relating to the conditions of services of Executive Officers etc., providing for the pre-payment of securities in all cases. The amendment also suggests that all those existing Executive Officers who fail to furnish the securities before 1-1-1950 should be removed from service. But according to the orders taken in circulation above, it is porposed that a time limit of 3 months may be given to all the E.Os. concerned to pay the securities. In view of this it would seem that instead of the date 1-1-1950 proposed by the board in the draft amendment, for enforcing the payment of the securities, the date 1-4-50 may be suggested to the board for adoption.

In this connection attention is invited to the papers in the linked file. Under the existing rules the board has no powers to grant exemption from payment of securities in any case. By the proposed amendment to rule 8, it would be made obligatory for every executive officer appointed to furnish, the security demanded in advance, before he takes charge of the post. But it has been found that in cases like that of Sri Srinivasan dealt with in the L.F. exemption should be granted by Government, from the payment of securities. In the circumstances the board may be asked to add a proviso to rule 8 as proposed to be modified, enabling Govt., to grant exemptions in deserving cases, subject to such conditions as they may think fit and submit the revised amendments for the approval of Govt. pending the amendment to the Rules, the Board may also be asked to appoint Sri griniva as Executive officer of a temple after obtaining a fidelity bond from him. For orders.

A draft Memo is submitted for approval.

(Issued as Memo No.52333 F.D.V/49-1 dated 11-1-1950.)

From the President, H.R.E.Board, Lr.No.96102/49 General,
dated 26-2-1950.

The President, has submitted a revised draft amendment to rule 8, as suggested in para 1 of our Memo on p. 5 c.f., and this may be approved.

2. Attention in this connection, is invited to para 2 of the Memo on p. 5 c.f. The President has not stated whether the board has since appointed Sri Srinivasan as E.O., of any temple. Under the existing provisions of the rules, no person can be appointed as an E.O without demanding from him the prescribed security and in the draft amendment under reference, it is proposed inter alia, that Government should have the power to grant exemptions from the payment of securities in deserving cases. If Sri Srinivasan has already been appointed as E.O., the draft amendment proposed, should be given retrospective effect. This position may be pointed out to the President. Subject to orders, a draft order is submitted for approval.

3. It is, however, for consideration whether the time limit for furnishing the securities may not be extended to say 1-7-50 in order to give the officers a reasonable time. Attention is, in this connection, invited to the portion marked 'A' on pp.1-2 ante. Subject to approval, necessary amendment has been made in the draft order below.

Compared by - (Issued as order No.214 F.D., dated 18-3-50)

1) M.K.R. Shanmuga
2-6-50
H. Shanmuga
3/6

/True copy/